

Nonpropagation of tachyon on the BTZ black hole in type 0B string theory

H.W. Lee¹, Y.S. Myung¹ and Jin Young Kim²

¹*Department of Physics, Inje University, Kimhae 621-749, Korea*

²*Department of Physics, Kunsan National University, Kunsan 573-701, Korea*

Abstract

We obtain the BTZ black hole ($\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$) as a non-dilatonic solution from type 0B string theory. Analyzing the s-wave perturbation around this black hole background, we show that the tachyon is not a propagating mode.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently type 0 string theories attract much interest in the study of non-supersymmetric gauge theories [1–6]. Type 0 string theories can be obtained from the worldsheet of type II string theories by performing a non-chiral GSO projection [4]. The resulting theories have world sheet supersymmetry but no spacetime supersymmetry. The crucial difference of type 0 theories with type II theories is to have the doubling of Ramond-Ramond(RR) fields and the tachyon.

One of the simplest way to see the role of tachyon in type 0 theories is to consider the intersecting Dp -branes. The $Dp_{\pm}$ -brane bound states can be intersected according to the same rule of the type II theories. The $D5_{\pm}$ - $D1_{\pm}$ brane black hole was constructed to show that the corresponding near-horizon geometry is $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ and it has asymptotically flat space at infinity [7]. This corresponds to the dilatonic solution. It is shown that the tachyon field can be stabilized only in the near-horizon of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$. In our previous work [8], we studied extensively the stability by analyzing the potentials surrounding the $D5_{\pm}$ - $D1_{\pm}$ brane black hole. In this paper, we find the BTZ black hole as a non-dilatonic solution from type 0B string theory [9]. This is a globally $AdS_3 \times S^3$ solution, which means that it has asymptotically AdS_3 spacetime [10]. This is a crucial point that contrasts to the $D5_{\pm}$ - $D1_{\pm}$ brane black hole. Here we wish to study whether the tachyon can propagate or not on global $AdS_3 \times S^3$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section II, we obtain the BTZ black hole in type 0B string theory. We set up the s-wave perturbation for all fields around this black hole background in Sec. III. Here we choose the harmonic gauge for graviton and use all linearized equations including two Bianchi identities to decouple (ϕ, t) from the remaining fields. Finally we discuss our results in Sec. IV.

II. BTZ BLACK HOLE

We start with the appropriate truncation of the type 0B string theory in the string frame [2,7]

$$S_{10} = \frac{1}{\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left[e^{-2\Phi} \left\{ R + 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\nabla T)^2 - \frac{m^2}{4}T^2 \right\} - \frac{1}{12} \left\{ f_+(T)F_{+3}^2 + f_-(T)F_{-3}^2 \right\} \right] \quad (1)$$

where $f_{\pm}(T) = 1 \pm T + \frac{1}{2}T^2$, $m^2 = -2/\alpha'$, $\kappa_{10}^2 = (2\pi)^6 \pi g^2 \alpha'^4$ and $F_{\pm 3}$ are the Ramond-Ramond(RR) three-forms. Comparing with the results of type IIB theory [11,12], the new ingredients are the tachyon(T) and the doubling of the RR fields($F_{\pm 3}$). Here $\alpha' = 1$ and g is ten-dimensional string coupling constant. We wish to follow the MTW conventions [13].

The equations of motion for action (1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{MN} + \frac{1}{4}g_{MN}\nabla^2\Phi + 2\nabla_M\nabla_N\Phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}(\nabla\Phi)^2 \\ - \frac{1}{4}\nabla_M T \nabla_N T - \frac{m^2}{32}g_{MN}T^2 + \frac{1}{48}g_{MN}e^{2\Phi} \left\{ f_+(T)F_{+3}^2 + f_-(T)F_{-3}^2 \right\} \\ - \frac{1}{4}e^{2\Phi} \left\{ f_+(T)F_{+3MPQ}F_{+3N}{}^{PQ} + f_-(T)F_{-3MPQ}F_{-3N}{}^{PQ} \right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$R + 4\nabla^2\Phi - 4(\nabla\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\nabla T)^2 - \frac{m^2}{4}T^2 = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla_M \left\{ f_+(T)F_{+3}{}^{MNP} \right\} = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla_M \left\{ f_-(T)F_{-3}{}^{MNP} \right\} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla^2 T - 2\nabla\Phi\nabla T - m^2 T - \frac{1}{6}e^{2\Phi} \left\{ f'_+(T)F_{+3}^2 + f'_-(T)F_{-3}^2 \right\} = 0, \quad (6)$$

where the prime(') denotes the differentiation with respect to its argument. From Eqs.(2) and (3), one can rewrite the new dilaton equation as

$$\nabla^2\Phi - 2(\nabla\Phi)^2 - \frac{m^2}{8}T^2 - \frac{1}{12}e^{2\Phi} \left\{ f_+(T)F_{+3}^2 + f_-(T)F_{-3}^2 \right\} = 0. \quad (7)$$

In addition, we need the remaining Maxwell equations as two Bianchi identities [12]

$$\partial_{[M}F_{\pm 3NPQ]} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Here we are interested in non-dilatonic solution. We consider mainly the six-dimensional part by parametrizing $g_{10} = e^{\phi_6} g_6 + e^{2\chi} dx_i dx^i$, where $\phi_6 = \Phi - 2\chi$. Note the difference between Φ and ϕ_6 . The former is the 10D dilaton and the latter is the 6D dilaton. Hereafter we set ϕ_6 to be zero, which means that

$$\Phi = 2\chi. \quad (9)$$

The black hole solution can be obtained by setting

$$e^{2\bar{\Phi}} = g^2, \quad \bar{T} = 0, \quad \bar{F}_{\pm 3}^2 = 0, \quad \bar{R} = 0. \quad (10)$$

In detail one finds

$$\bar{F}_{\pm 3} = \frac{\sqrt{2}r_5^2}{g}\epsilon_3 + \sqrt{2}r_1^2 g e^{-2\bar{\Phi}} *_6 \epsilon_3, \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{R^2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{BTZ}}, \quad \bar{R}_{mn} = \frac{2}{R^2} \bar{g}_{mn}^{S_3}, \quad (12)$$

with $R^2 = r_1 r_5$ and $*_6 \epsilon_3$ is the six-dimensional Hodge dual of ϵ_3 . In type 0B string theory, the above solution was first suggested in [7]. The ten-dimensional indices $M, N, P, \dots$ are split into $\mu, \nu, \rho, \dots$ for the BTZ black hole (t, ρ, φ) and $m, n, p, \dots$ for $S^3(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$. The background spacetime ($\text{AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$) is given by

$$ds_{10}^2 = ds_{\text{BTZ}}^2 + R^2 d\Omega_3^2 + dx_i^2 \quad (13)$$

where the BTZ black hole spacetime is given by [14]

$$ds_{\text{BTZ}}^2 = -\frac{(\rho^2 - \rho_+^2)(\rho^2 - \rho_-^2)}{\rho^2 R^2} dt^2 + \rho^2 \left(d\varphi - \frac{J}{2\rho^2} dt \right)^2 + \frac{\rho^2 R^2}{(\rho^2 - \rho_+^2)(\rho^2 - \rho_-^2)} d\rho^2. \quad (14)$$

Here $M = (\rho_+^2 + \rho_-^2)/R^2$, $J = 2\rho_+ \rho_- / R$ are the mass and angular momentum of the BTZ black hole. In this case, the relevant thermodynamic quantities (Hawking temperature, area of horizon, angular velocity at horizon, left/right temperatures) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_H^{\text{BTZ}} &= \frac{\rho_+^2 - \rho_-^2}{2\pi R^2 \rho_+}, \\ \mathcal{A}_H^{\text{BTZ}} &= 2\pi \rho_+, \quad \Omega_H = \frac{J}{2\rho_+^2}, \\ \frac{1}{T_{L/R}^{\text{BTZ}}} &= \frac{1}{T_H^{\text{BTZ}}} \left(1 \pm \frac{\rho_+}{\rho_-} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

III. PERTURBATION ANALYSIS

For simplicity, we consider the s-wave perturbation around the 6D background of $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$ as in [12]

$$F_{\pm 3\mu\nu\rho} = \bar{F}_{\pm 3\mu\nu\rho} + \mathcal{F}_{\pm 3\mu\nu\rho} = \bar{F}_{\pm 3\mu\nu\rho} \{1 + \mathcal{F}_{\pm}(t, \rho, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)\}, \quad (16)$$

$$F_{\pm 3mnp} = \bar{F}_{\pm 3mnp} + \mathcal{F}_{\pm 3mnp} = \bar{F}_{\pm 3mnp} \left\{1 + \mathcal{F}_{\pm}^{\theta}(t, \rho, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)\right\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Phi = \bar{\Phi} + \phi(t, \rho, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3), \quad (18)$$

$$g_{MN} = \bar{g}_{MN} + h_{MN}(t, \rho, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3), \quad (19)$$

$$T = 0 + t(t, \rho, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3). \quad (20)$$

We remind the reader that the forms of perturbation should be at least taken to preserve the background symmetry of $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$. In this sense we choose a diagonal form for $\mathcal{F}_{\pm 3MNP}$. Here h_{MN} is given by the block diagonal form [10,15]

$$h_{MN} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{tt} & h_{t\rho} & h_{t\varphi} & & & \\ h_{\rho t} & h_{\rho\rho} & h_{\rho\varphi} & 0 & 0 & \\ h_{\varphi t} & h_{\varphi\rho} & h_{\varphi\varphi} & & & \\ & & & h_{\theta_1\theta_1} & h_{\theta_1\theta_2} & h_{\theta_1\theta_3} \\ & 0 & & h_{\theta_2\theta_1} & h_{\theta_2\theta_2} & h_{\theta_2\theta_3} & 0 \\ & & & h_{\theta_3\theta_1} & h_{\theta_3\theta_2} & h_{\theta_3\theta_3} \\ & 0 & & & 0 & & h_{ij} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

It is noted that (21) is chosen to preserve the background symmetry of $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$. This seems to be simple but it is sufficient for our s-wave calculation with $l = 0$. Here l is given by the relation as $\bar{\nabla}_{\text{S}^3}^2 \phi = -l(l+2)\phi$. This is so because in s-wave calculation the graviton h_{MN} are not propagating modes except h_{ij} .

One has to linearize (3), (7) and (6) in order to obtain the equations governing the perturbations as

$$\bar{\nabla}^2(8\phi - h) + \frac{4}{R^2}(h^t_t + h^\rho_\rho + h^\varphi_\varphi - h^{\theta_i}_{\theta_i}) = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \phi + \frac{2}{R^2} \left[(\mathcal{F}_+ + \mathcal{F}_- - \mathcal{F}_+^\theta - \mathcal{F}_-^\theta) - (h_t^t + h_\rho^\rho + h_\varphi^\varphi - h_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i}) \right] = 0. \quad (23)$$

$$\left(\bar{\nabla}^2 - m^2 \right) t + \frac{4}{R^2} \left(\mathcal{F}_+ - \mathcal{F}_- - \mathcal{F}_+^\theta + \mathcal{F}_-^\theta \right) = 0, \quad (24)$$

where $h_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i} = h_{\theta_1}^{\theta_1} + h_{\theta_2}^{\theta_2} + h_{\theta_3}^{\theta_3}$. Now we attempt to disentangle the mixing between (ϕ, t) and other fields by using both the harmonic gauge ($\bar{\nabla}_M \hat{h}^{MP} = 0$, $\hat{h}^{MP} = h^{MP} - \frac{h}{2} \bar{g}^{MP}$, $h = h_Q^Q$) and Kalb-Ramond equations from (4) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_M \mathcal{F}_{\pm 3}^{MNP} - (\bar{\nabla}_M h_Q^N) \bar{F}_{\pm 3}^{MQP} + (\bar{\nabla}_M h_Q^P) \bar{F}_{\pm 3}^{MQN} \\ - (\bar{\nabla}_M \hat{h}_Q^M) \bar{F}_{\pm 3}^{QNP} - h_Q^M (\bar{\nabla}_M \bar{F}_{\pm 3}^{QNP}) \pm (\partial_M t) \bar{F}_{\pm 3}^{MNP} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

When $N = t, P = \varphi$, solving Eq.(25) leads to

$$\partial_\rho \left(\mathcal{F}_\pm \pm t - h_t^t - h_\varphi^\varphi \right) + \partial_t h_\rho^t + \partial_\varphi h_\rho^\varphi = 0. \quad (26)$$

Using the harmonic gauge, the last two terms turn out to be $\partial_\rho(-h_\rho^\rho + \frac{1}{2}h)$. Then (26) takes the form as

$$2(\mathcal{F}_\pm \pm t) - \left(h_t^t + h_\rho^\rho + h_\varphi^\varphi \right) + h_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i} + h_i^i = 0. \quad (27)$$

The remaining choices for N, P lead to the same relation as in (27). For $N = \theta_2, P = \theta_3$, one obtains the relation

$$2(\mathcal{F}_\pm^\theta \pm t) + \left(h_t^t + h_\rho^\rho + h_\varphi^\varphi \right) - h_{\theta_i}^{\theta_i} + h_i^i = 0. \quad (28)$$

From the Bianchi identities (8) one has

$$\partial_{\theta_1} \mathcal{F}_\pm = \partial_{\theta_2} \mathcal{F}_\pm = \partial_{\theta_3} \mathcal{F}_\pm = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{F}_\pm^\theta = \partial_\rho \mathcal{F}_\pm^\theta = \partial_\varphi \mathcal{F}_\pm^\theta = 0. \quad (30)$$

This implies that $\mathcal{F}_\pm = \mathcal{F}_\pm(t, \rho, \varphi)$ are dynamical fields, $\mathcal{F}_\pm^\theta = \mathcal{F}_\pm^\theta(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ are non-dynamical fields. Hence we choose $\mathcal{F}_\pm^\theta = 0$. Then from (28) one obtains an important result as

$$t = 0, \quad (31)$$

which implies that the tachyon t is a non-propagating mode in the $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$ background. On the other hand we find $\mathcal{F}_+ = \mathcal{F}_-$. Plugging this with $t = 0$ into (24) leads to the fact that (24) is trivially satisfied. Now let us consider the simplest case where all but the tachyon t vanish. In this case, we also find $t = 0$ from (25). The non-propagation of the tachyon originates from the coupling of $f_{\pm}(T)F_{\pm 3}^2$ in (1) and the background symmetry (10) of $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$. Using (27) and (28), Eqs.(22) and (23) lead to

$$\bar{\nabla}^2(8\phi - h) - \frac{4}{R^2}h^i{}_i = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\phi - \frac{2}{R^2}h^i{}_i = 0. \quad (33)$$

If $h^i{}_i = 0$, then one finds

$$h = 8\phi, \quad \bar{\nabla}^2\phi = 0. \quad (34)$$

However this corresponds to the linearized equation for a minimally coupled scalar. We need to find the linearized equation for the dilaton. If $h^i{}_i = a\phi$, then Eqs.(32) and (33) lead to the same equation as

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\phi - \frac{2a}{R^2}\phi = 0 \quad \text{with } h = 6\phi. \quad (35)$$

In order to find a , we recall the relation in (9). The original relation comes from the compactification scheme ($g_{10} = e^{\phi_6}g_6 + e^{2\chi}dx_id x^i$, where $\phi_6 = \Phi - 2\chi$). Here we require the 6D dilaton to be non propagating ($\phi_6 = 0$). Then one finds (9). This means that the dilaton is related to the scale of T^4 . The linearized version of (9) should be also valid because (9) is an initial constraint. This takes the form

$$\phi = 2\delta\chi. \quad (36)$$

And then using $g_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} + h_{ij}$, $h^i{}_i = 8\delta\chi = 4\phi$. This implies $a = 4$. We use this relation to derive the correct form of the dilaton equation instead of keeping $h^i{}_i$ an independent fluctuation. Hence the final equation for the dilaton in the string frame takes the form

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\phi - \frac{8}{R^2}\phi = 0. \quad (37)$$

Also this corresponds to the equation for a minimally coupled scalar with $l = 2$ on $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$ [16].

IV. DISCUSSIONS

A new feature of type 0B string theory is the presence of the tachyon. It is known that while the Minkowski vacuum is unstable in type 0 string theory, the near-horizon geometry of $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ should be a stable background for sufficiently small radius [6]. The RR fields work to stabilize the tachyon in the near-horizon. It is clear from the $\text{D5}_\pm\text{-D1}_\pm$ brane black hole [8] that the near-horizon of $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$ is stable because $V_\nu(r)$ and $V_t(r)$ take the shapes of the potential barrier. If there do not exist the RR fields $(\mathcal{F}_\pm, \mathcal{H}_\pm)$, one finds a potential well for the tachyon, which induces an instability in the near-horizon [17].

On the other hand, we find that the tachyon cannot propagate on global $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$. This background corresponds to a non-dilatonic solution. This means that the 10D dilaton(Φ) plays no role in setting this background. However, the propagation of Φ is alive as shown in Eq.(37) and its absorption cross section was obtained in [10,16]. Unfortunately, the tachyon is not a propagating mode. This comes from the Kalb-Ramond equation, the gauge condition and Bianchi identities. In the s-wave($l = 0$) calculation the propagation of tachyon is not allowed. This contrasts to our naive expectation such that the tachyon is a propagating mode in the type 0B string theory.

How we do interpret this non-propagation? This mainly due to the spacetime symmetry of global $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$ background. We remind the reader that the $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$ background in type IIB theory is maximally supersymmetric [18]. Although the type 0B theory is not supersymmetric in spacetime, the $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$ has the global $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{\text{L}} \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})_{\text{R}} \times \text{SU}(2)_{\text{L}} \times \text{SU}(2)_{\text{R}}$ group of isometries. These are part of an AdS supergroup $\text{G} = \text{G}_{\text{L}} \times \text{G}_{\text{R}}$, where both G_{L} and G_{R} contain $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$. This background is indistinguishable from their type IIB cousins [19]. It seems that these global symmetries prevent the tachyon from propagating into the global $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$. This is clear if the global $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3$ (the non-dilatonic solution(13))

is compared with the $D5_{\pm}-D1_{\pm}$ brane black hole(the dilatonic solution). The former has $AdS_3 \times S^3$ with asymptotically AdS_3 space, while the latter takes $AdS_3 \times S^3$ only in the near-horizon but with asymptotically flat space. This means that the global $AdS_3 \times S^3$ is regarded as the large R limit of the near-horizon $AdS_3 \times S^3$. This global spacetime can be achieved only from the special setting as (10)-(12). As a result the background isometries of global $AdS_3 \times S^3$ may protect the tachyon from propagating on this space.

In conclusion, although the tachyon is propagating and stable in the near-horizon geometry($AdS_3 \times S^3$) of the $D5_{\pm}-D1_{\pm}$ brane black hole, it is not a propagating mode on the global $AdS_3 \times S^3$ background.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Brain Korea 21 Program, Ministry of Education, Project No D-0025.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.M. Polyakov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A14**, 645(1999), hep-th/9809057.
- [2] I.R. Klebanov and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B546**, 155(1999), hep-th/9811035; Nucl. Phys. **B547**, 143(1999), hep-th/9812089.
- [3] J.A. Minahan, JHEP **9901**, 020(1999), hep-th/9811156; JHEP **9904**, 007(1999), hep-th/9902074.
- [4] L. Dixon and J. Harvey, Nucl. Phys. **B274**, 93(1986); N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B276**, 272(1986).
- [5] G. Ferretti and D. Martelli, hep-th/9811208; N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, hep-th/9902110; M. Alishahiha, A. Brandhuber and Y. Oz, hep-th/9903186; O. Berman and G. Gaberdiel, hep-th/9906055; A. Armoni and B. Kol, hep-th/9906081; R. Blumenhagen, A. Font and D. Lust, hep-th/9906101; J.Y. Kim, hep-th/9906196.
- [6] I.R. Klebanov and A.A. Tseytlin, JHEP **9903**, 015(1999), hep-th/9901101.
- [7] M.S. Costa, hep-th/9903128.
- [8] H.W. Lee, Y.S. Myung and Jin Young Kim, hep-th/9907024.
- [9] I. Sachs, hep-th/9907201.
- [10] H.W. Lee, N.J. Kim, and Y.S. Myung, hep-th/9805050.
- [11] C. Callan, S. Gubser, I. Klebanov, and A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B489**, 65 (1997), hep-th/9610172; I.R. Klebanov and M. Krasnitz, Phys. Rev. **D55**, R3250 (1997).
- [12] H.W. Lee, Y.S. Myung and J.Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 104006(1998), hep-th/9708099.
- [13] C. Misner, K. Thorne, and J. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
- [14] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and A. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849(1992); S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 2853(1995).

- [15] R. Gregory and R. Laflamme, Nucl. Phys. **B428**, 399 (1994).
- [16] H.W. Lee and Y.S. Myung, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 104013(1998), hep-th/9804095.
- [17] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Hole* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1983).
- [18] J. de Boer, Nucl. Phys. **B548**, 139(1999), hep-th/9806104.
- [19] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, JHEP **9812**, 005(1998), hep-th/9804085; K. Behrndt and D. Lüst, hep-th/9905180.